

RPS Work Item: Beta Testing of Message Standard

Device Regulators Forum

IMDRF Stakeholder Forum San Francisco March 26, 2014

> Nancy Shadeed **Health Canada**



Recap

- Beta Testing Objective (Phase 1):
 - Assess RPS Standard fitness for use with device submissions
 - Identify areas where the RPS standard may not meet device requirements and provide input to the HL7 standard
- If RPS is found to be suitable for device business requirements, Phase 2 of RPS Work Item, if endorsed by IMDRF MC, would focus on implementation



Software Tool versus Message Standard

- **Tool:** a business need that can be met with functionality built into publishing and reviewing software tools
- **Message:** Information that must be contained in the RPS message to support the business process
 - The RPS message carries information that software tools can use to enable software functionality
 - Business requirements may be met through tools if the RPS message carries the necessary information to do so



Tool Requirement Example

- Reviewers want to see all documents related to a manufacturing facility grouped together
 - The RPS message allows documents to be tagged with keywords. The tool can then display all documents with the same keyword together
 - All of the required information is in the message, but the use of the keywords for grouping content for display is a tool requirement



Overall Status of Phase 1 Work

- Following device scenarios tested to date:
 - Bundled PMA Supplement (US)
 - Bundled Submission (Canada)
 - Submission covering many products (Australia)
 - Modular PMAs (US)
- HL7 Ballot Reconciliation ongoing and will result in changes to the RPS model
- Delays experienced in HL7 schedule, in part related to ongoing discussions within ICH on business requirements for pharmaceuticals
- Next opportunity for RPS to become a normative HL7 standard is following ballot in Sept 2014



Update since Brussels

- Public test results from first round of testing by software vendors finalized and ready for posting together with initial Draft Implementation Guide (IG) for Testing
- Training conducted at recent meeting in Washington to improve stakeholder knowledge and input
- Work in progress within each region to determine how RPS fits into their current business processes
- Master Glossary of Terms and Business/Message requirements in preparation



7

Outstanding Phase 1 Testing

- Additional business scenarios to be tested:
 - Brazil Laboratory Certificate
 - EU Quality Systems Certificate and Design Examination Certificate
 - US Manufacturing Supplements
 - Other?
- Some re-testing of modular PMAs and/or bundled submissions also anticipated
- Testing focused on message requirements that need to be tested for device-specific requirements



Next Steps

- Working towards March 31 meeting with tool vendors and testing of additional business requirements by mid-end May using a simplified testing procedure
- Will require completion of testing package (test case scenarios and revised IG for testing) by mid-April
- Business case for proceeding with Phase 2 (Implementation) under development
- Interim options for encouraging electronic submission of IHS formatted submissions also being developed





Work Item Extension

- RPS Work Item Extension previously endorsed by the IMDRF MC that will:
 - Identify and define common data elements and a structure to support device identification for regulatory purposes at different stages of the product lifecycle (Phase 1)
 - Evaluate whether an existing electronic exchange format could accommodate the transmission of device identification information or whether a new data exchange message would be required (Phase 2)



Work Item Extension

- Work Item Extension will build upon and complement work undertaken by the UDI and RPS working groups
- Work under this third RPS work stream was to begin once RPS message standard became a normative HL7 standard
- Given delays caused by reconciliation of HL7 RPS ballot comments, discussed launch of preliminary work at recent meeting in Washington



INDRF International Medical Device Regulators Forum

Thank you!