IMDRF/CYBER WG/N73DRAFT:2022



DRAFT DOCUMENT

Title: Principles and Practices for Software Bill of Materials for Medical Device Cybersecurity

Authoring Group: Medical Device Cybersecurity Working Group

Date: June 2022

This document was produced by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum. There are no restrictions on the reproduction or use of this document; however, incorporation of this document, in part or in whole, into another document, or its translation into languages other than English, does not convey or represent an endorsement of any kind by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

Copyright © 2022 by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum.

1 **Table of Contents**

2			
3	1.0	Introduction	4
4	2.0	Scope	5
5	3.0	Definitions	6
6	4.0	Overview of SBOM Framework	8
7	5.0	Overview of Manufacturer Considerations	9
8	5.1	Collect SBOM Content	10
9	5.2	Generate an SBOM	10
10	5	.2.1 SBOM Elements & Formats	10
11	5.3	Distribute an SBOM	11
12	5.4	Monitor for Vulnerabilities	13
13	5	.4.1 SBOM & Change Management	14
14	5.5	Challenges	14
15	6.0	Overview of Healthcare Provider Considerations	15
16	6.1	SBOM Ingestion and Management	16
17	6	5.1.1 Considerations for Ingesting and Managing an SBOM	16
18	6	5.1.2 Methods for Ingesting and Managing an SBOM	17
19	7.0	SBOM Use Cases	18
20	7.1	Risk Management	19
21	7	1.1.1 Manufacturer's Perspective	19
22	7	1.2 Healthcare Provider's Perspective	19
23	7.2	Vulnerability Management	20
24	7	2.1 Manufacturer's Perspective	20
25	7	2.2 Healthcare Provider's Perspective	20
26	7.3	Incident Management	21
27	8.0	References	21
28	8.1	IMDRF Documents	21
29	8.2	Standards	21
30	8.3	Regulatory Guidance	23
31	8.4	Other Resources and References	24
32	9.0	Appendices	26
33	9.1	SBOM Component Types & Tools	26
34			
35			

36 **Preface**

37

The document herein was produced by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum
 (IMDRF), a voluntary group of medical device regulators from around the world. The document

40 has been subject to consultation throughout its development.

41

42 There are no restrictions on the reproduction, distribution or use of this document; however,

- 43 incorporation of this document, in part or in whole, into any other document, or its translation into
- 44 languages other than English, does not convey or represent an endorsement of any kind by the
- 45 International Medical Device Regulators Forum.
- 46

47 **1.0 Introduction**

48 Digital connectivity of medical devices has made patient care more efficient, data-driven, and

49 effective. Utilization and reliance on third-party software components has made developing such

- 50 medical devices more economical, more reliable, and increased the pace of innovation. However,
- 51 while connectivity and utilization of third-party software components deliver many benefits, they
- 52 may introduce cybersecurity risks with a potential to impact patient safety and the
- 53 confidentiality, integrity and availability of network-connectable medical devices. Increased
- 54 information in communications from medical device manufacturers (MDMs) and regulators that
- 55 identify third-party component vulnerabilities demonstrate these potential risks.
- 56
- 57 Cybersecurity vulnerabilities are unique in that they may impact a diverse range of seemingly
- 58 secured unrelated devices across various manufacturers due to the use of common software
- 59 components. This problem is compounded by the generally low traceability of those common
- 60 components within devices. To address this issue, the US National Telecommunications and
- 61 Information Administration (NTIA) convened a multi-sector initiative of various stakeholders in
- 62 2018 to discuss software transparency. One of the outputs was the concept of a software bill of
- 63 materials (SBOM), which NTIA defined as a list of one or more identified components and other

64 associated information. SBOM may be leveraged across the total product life cycle (TPLC) in

- 65 both premarket and post-market activities.
- 66

68

69

70

- 67 The benefits of an SBOM across the TPLC include (but are not limited to):
 - an improved ability to identify software components contained in a device,
 - more secure software development,
 - increased software transparency among vendors, and
 - better identification of suspicious software components.
- 71 72

Additional insights regarding SBOM benefits are found in NTIA's FAQ document and their
 "Roles and Benefits of SBOM Across the Supply Chain" document. To fully realize its benefits,

75 the SBOM needs to be widely adopted by all stakeholders, while also recognizing that each

restart stakeholder may have different roles and uses of SBOM, such as SBOM generation,

- 77 management, distribution, ingestion, and utilization.
- 78

79 Building on the SBOM concept, Principles and Practices for Medical Device Cybersecurity

- 80 (IMDRF/CYBER WG/N60FINAL:2020) included an SBOM as part of the customer security
- 81 documentation to be prepared by the MDM and provided to the device user. Among a variety of
- 82 benefits, using an SBOM for medical devices across the TPLC enables:
- Better management of End of Life of software components. If the MDMs know the
 software components and their respective end of life dates, it will allow them to be proactive
 and find alternative components or solutions. This is of benefit to device users since the
 cybersecurity of the medical device is increased as a result.
- Better pre-purchase and pre-installation planning- because having the SBOM allows
- healthcare providers to know which devices are potentially vulnerable or contain soon to be out of date software before purchasing. They can better assess if the benefits of getting the
- 90 device will outweigh the security risks that come along with it.
- 91 Regulators to have a better understanding of the product as a part of the benefit risk
- 92 assessment undertaken in premarket reviews and informs their initial post-market

- 93 vulnerability impact assessments. This enhanced understanding provides insight into the
- number and types of products that may be impacted which can help to inform next steps.
- 95 This guidance provides a high-level description of an SBOM and best practices for the
- 96 generation and use of an SBOM. The purpose of this document is to provide greater detail on the
- 97 implementation of SBOM and software transparency as relevant to medical device stakeholders,
- 98 including MDMs, healthcare providers (HCPs), and regulators. For the purpose of this guidance,
- 99 healthcare providers include healthcare delivery organizations.

100 **2.0 Scope**

101 This document is designed to provide recommendations applicable to responsible stakeholders 102 including, but not limited to, MDMs, HCPs, users, regulators, and software vendors on the 103 implementation of an SBOM and increased transparency in the use of software in medical devices, 104 including in vitro diagnostic (IVD) medical devices. However, the document emphasizes the roles 105 and responsibilities of MDMs and HCPs. This document is complementary to the preceding 106 IMDRF cybersecurity guidance (IMDRF/CYBER WG/N60FINAL:2020), and the scope of 107 relevant medical devices, as well as the focus on potential for patient harm remain unchanged.

108

109 Specifically, this document considers cybersecurity in the context of medical devices that either 110 contain software, including firmware and programmable logic controllers (e.g., pacemakers, 111 infusion pumps) or exist as software only (e.g., Software as a Medical device (SaMD)). It is 112 important to note that due to most regulators' authority over medical device safety and 113 performance, the scope of this guidance is limited to consideration of the potential for patient harm 114 related to the regulated medical device. For example, threats that could impact performance, negatively affect clinical operations, or result in diagnostic or therapeutic errors are considered in 115 116 scope of this document. While other types of harm such as those associated with breaches of data 117 privacy are important, they are not considered within the scope of this document.

118

This document also does not address cloud services. Cloud services that are a component of the regulated medical device may also present a risk to safety and effectiveness, especially availability. Due to the complexities of cloud services which are further complicated when manufacturers leverage third-party clouds rather than manufacturer-controlled private clouds, this first IMDRF SBOM document does not yet include cloud technology explicitly within SBOMs. However, as technology evolves and understanding of the cloud increases from a regulatory perspective, it will

- be important to address the residual risk of cloud technology in the context of SBOM. It is anticipated that this and other risks are considered in future work.
- 120
- 128 This document is intended to:
- Provide recommendations for medical device manufacturers in SBOM generation, management, and distribution;
- Provide recommendations to healthcare providers on ingestion and management of an SBOM;
 and
- Demonstrate SBOM use cases for risk management, vulnerability management, and incident response from the perspective of medical device manufacturers and healthcare providers.

135 As was emphasized in the preceding IMDRF medical device cybersecurity guidance

- 136 (IMDRF/CYBER WG/N60FINAL:2020), this document continues to recognize that cybersecurity
- 137 is a shared responsibility among stakeholders.
- 138 While SBOM can address various software transparency issues including licensing and intellectual 139 property, this document focus on the cybersecurity concerns relevant to SBOM.
- 140 It is important to note that differences across medical device types and regulatory jurisdictions,
- 141 may give rise to specific circumstances where different or additional considerations are required.

142 **3.0 Definitions**

- For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in IMDRF/GRRP WG/N47FINAL:2018 and the following apply.
- Application programming interface (API): set of standard software interrupts, calls,
 functions, and data formats that can be used by an application program to access network
 services, devices, or operating systems (ISO 10303-1:2021)
- 148

154

157

160

- 3.2 *Asset:* physical or digital entity that has value to an individual, an organization or a government (ISO 81001-1:2021)
 151
- 152 3.3 Asset management: coordinated activity of an organization to realize value from asset
 (ISO/IEC 9770-5:2015)
- 155 3.4 *Change management:* process for recording, coordination, approval and monitoring of all
 156 changes. (ISO 81001-1:2021)
- *3.5 Configuration:* manner in which the hardware and software of an information processing
 system are organized and interconnected (ISO/IEC 2382:2015)
- 3.6 *Cybersecurity:* a state where information and systems are protected from unauthorized activities, such as access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction to a degree that the related risks to confidentiality, integrity, and availability are maintained at an acceptable level throughout the life cycle. (ISO 81001-1:2021)
- 3.7 *Cybersecurity Incident*: A cybersecurity event that has been determined to have an impact
 on the organization prompting the need for response and recovery. (National Institute of
 Standards and Technology (2018) Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure
 Cybersecurity, Version 1.1.)
- Note: A cybersecurity event is a cybersecurity change that may have an impact on
 organizational operations (including but not limited to mission, capabilities, or
 reputation)
- 1753.8Component: collection of system resources that (a) forms a physical or logical part of the176system, (b) has specified functions and interfaces, and (c) is treated (e.g., by policies or177specifications) as existing independently of other parts of the system. (ISO 81001-1:2021)

178		
179		NOTE: In the medical device context, components include any raw material, substance,
180		piece, part, software, firmware, labeling, or assembly that is intended to be included as part
181		of the finished, packaged, and labeled device
182		
183	3.9	Credentialed scan: a vulnerability scan performed with system credentials (e.g., username
184	5.7	and password) to access the system and bypass certain security layers to collect more detailed
185		system information.
186		system mornation.
180		Note: Per NIST SP-800-115, a vulnerability scan is a technique used to identify hosts/host
187		attributes and associated vulnerabilities.
189		annoules una associatea vaineraonnies.
190	2 10	Usek hash ushes value coloulated by a back function which is a computation method used
191	5.10	<i>Hash, hash-value:</i> value calculated by a hash function, which is a computation method used
192		to generate a random value of fixed length from the data of any optional length. (ISO 17090-
193		4:2020)
194	2 1 1	
195	3.11	Legacy Medical Device (syn. Legacy Device): Medical device that cannot be reasonably
196		protected against current cybersecurity threats
197	0.10	
198	3.12	<i>Life cycle:</i> series of all phases in the life of a product or system, from the initial conception
199		to final decommissioning and disposal. (ISO 81001-1:2021)
200		
201	3.13	<i>Product:</i> output of an organization that can be produced without any transaction taking place
202		between the organization and the customer. (ISO 81001-1:2021)
203		
204	3.14	<i>Repository:</i> organized and persistent data storage that allows data retrieval. (ISO/IEC/IEEE
205		26511:2018)
206		
207	3.15	Risk management: systematic application of management policies, procedures and practices
208		to the tasks of analysing, evaluating, controlling and monitoring risk. (ISO/IEC Guide
209		63:2019)
210		
211	3.16	Software Bill of Materials (SBOM): list of one or more identified components and other
212		associated information.
213		
214		NOTE: The SBOM for a single component with no dependencies is just the list of that one
215		component. "Software" can be interpreted as "software system," thus hardware (true
216		hardware, not firmware) and very low-level software (like CPU microcode) can be included.
217		The primary focus of this effort is software components; however, hardware is not excluded.
218		(NTIA Framing Software Component Transparency: Establishing a Common Software Bill
219		of Material (SBOM) 2019-11-12)
220		
221	3.17	Software component: general term used to refer to a software system or an element, such as
222		module, unit, data, or document. (IEEE 1061) Note: A software component may have
223		multiple units or have multiple lower-level software components.
224		

- 3.18 *Software transparency:* the schematic structure of the software that reviews all the frame,
 hierarchy, and components of the software.
- 3.19 *Third-party software*: software provided by a person or body that is recognized as being
 independent of the parties involved. (Modified from ISO/IEC Guide 2) Note 1 to entry:
 Parties involved are usually supplier ("first party") and purchaser ("second party") interests.
- 3.20 Use case: specification of a sequence of actions, including variants, that a system (or other
 entity) can perform, interacting with actors of the system. (ISO/IEC 23643:2020)
- 3.21 *Vulnerability Exploitability eXchange (VEX):* Machine readable assertion about the status of
 a vulnerability in specific products
- 3.22 *Vulnerability:* weakness of an asset or control that can be exploited by one or more threats.
 (ISO/IEC 27000:2018)
- 3.23 *Vulnerability management:* cyclical practice of identifying, classifying, prioritizing, remediating, and mitigating software vulnerabilities.

244 **4.0 Overview of SBOM Framework**

227

231

234

237

240

243

Figure 1 shows a high-level framework where information sharing is enabled and software transparency is enhanced via SBOM generation/ingestion between MDMs and HCPs. Under this framework, considerations both for MDMs and HCPs are addressed.

Vulnerability

Information

Distribution

(See 5.3)

SBOM

Document

SBOM

Risk Management and

Vulnerability Management

Health Care Provider

Activities (See 7.1 & 7.2)

Ingestion

(See 6.1)







HCP Software Component

Repository

SBOMs for other Products/Systems

which the HCP owns

SBOM Management (See 6.1)

253

At a high level, SBOM content is collected by the MDM and is housed in a software component

255 repository. The SBOM document is then generated by the MDM and released for distribution so

it can be leveraged by the HCP. The following sections provide more detailed information

regarding the generation, distribution, and ingestion of an SBOM from both the MDM and HCPperspective.

259

260 **5.0 Overview of Manufacturer Considerations**

261 This section provides an overview of MDM considerations for SBOM including collecting SBOM 262 content, generating an SBOM, distributing an SBOM, and monitoring for vulnerabilities. Figure 263 2 provides additional granularity regarding SBOM management across the software development 264 life cycle (SDLC). During the SDLC stages of Design, Code-Build-Test and Deploy/Release, various types of software components are incorporated into the medical device. The SBOM 265 266 content for these components is collected and stored in the MDM software component repository 267 with other related information as part of configuration management activities. The SBOM is 268 generated from this repository and distributed to HCPs at the time of software release. Once 269 released, vulnerability monitoring can trigger change control to relevant software components and 270 then feed back into SBOM content collection and the SBOM content repository.

271



273 274

275 **5.1 Collect SBOM Content**

276 The design phase in the SDLC begins the collection of SBOM content. The content for 277 generating an SBOM can come from a variety of sources. For example, SBOM content can be 278 collected from the MDM's own development activity, via third-party provided SBOM or by 279 software composition analysis (SCA) outputs. In addition, open-source software (OSS) may 280 include a README that provides some, though perhaps not all, SBOM content. Within 281 development activities, content may be collected from specifications (e.g., in design or version 282 description documents) and build outputs (e.g., scripts). SCA tools may be used to scan the 283 software to identify the included components, however it is important to keep in mind that the 284 proprietary databases and code fingerprints which the tools rely upon may be incomplete or out 285 of date.

286

287 SBOM content needs to be collected for the medical device platform (unless a software-only

- 288 product) and the medical device application. This usually requires different sources and tooling.
- 289 For example, a 3rd party commercial off the shelf (COTS) software would typically be found on
- the platform and specifications may be used to identify these. Upstream component vendors for
- 291 components like firmware, embedded software, and program logic controllers (PLCs) can
- 292 provide third-party SBOMs which the MDM can incorporate into their final finished devices.
- The MDM SBOM content repository is used to aggregate the collection of SBOM content.
- Additional details regarding the component types that may be included in the MDM SBOM

content repository and tooling used to collect this content is found in Appendix 9.1.

296 **5.2 Generate an SBOM**

An SBOM is generated to assist MDM and HCP management of medical device cybersecurity,

which may be influenced by the security of its software components. To generate the SBOM, the

299 applicable SBOM content that was collected during design-code-build-test in the MDM SBOM 300 content repository, is aggregated into an SBOM document for each product release and product

301 update. Thus, the SBOM is updated and maintained throughout the life cycle of the device.

302

303 The final SBOM document that is distributed to SBOM stakeholders should follow a defined and

304 established SBOM generation methodology to ensure consistent output. The following section

305 will also describe considerations for SBOM elements and format. Additional insights regarding

306 SBOM generation and tooling may be found in NTIA's "How to Guide for SBOM Generation." 307

308 5.2.1 SBOM Elements & Formats

309 The amount and type of information include in an SBOM may vary but in general SBOMs

- 310 should be as complete as possible to enable stakeholders to manage risks more quickly, and
- 311 effectively. For medical device cybersecurity, a baseline SBOM should include the following,
- 312 NTIA consistent elements:
- 313oAuthor name: author of the SBOM entry314oTimestamp: Record of the date and time of the SBOM data assembly.315oSoftware component vendor (supplier): The entity that creates, defines, and316identifies components

317	• Software component name: Designation assigned to a unit of software defined by
318	the original supplier.
319	• Software component version: Identifier used by the supplier to specify a change in
320	software from a previously identified version
321	 Component hash: Precise way to identify as-built component of SBOM
322	• Unique Identifier: Identifiers that are used to identify a component, or serve as a
323	look-up key for relevant databases
324	• Relationship: Characterizing the relationship that an upstream component X is
325	included in software Y.
326	
327	The elements included in a SBOM are characterized by basic information that allows for their
328	identification; other information can be added at a deeper level, as needed. For example,
329	considerations relevant to the life cycle of a device (e.g., a software component's end-of-support
330	(EOS) date), would be of value as it aids in medical device risk management across the TPLC.
331	
332	In addition to thinking about the baseline elements to include, MDMs also need to consider the
333	SBOM format. Currently, there are a limited number of standard, automated SBOM formats
334	(Cyclone DX, SPDX, and SWID). Additional information on these formats, including detailed
335	medical device examples for SPDX and SWID may be found in in NTIA's "How to Guide for
336	SBOM Generation."
337	
338	5.3 Distribute an SBOM
339	After SBOM generation, the must consider how best to advertise that it exists and how best to
340	allow access to it. SBOMs should be initially provided to HCPs as part of the procurement process.

allow access to it. SBOMs should be initially provided to HCPs as part of the procurement process. The distribution of an SBOM is the process for how the SBOM information is exchanged from the manufacturer to the user. This could be an electronic file or an application programming interface (API) on the product or on the manufacturer's website. While there is no one way to best distribute an SBOM at this time, the use of standardized automated discovery and exchange mechanisms are encouraged.

346

Firstly, HCPs need to be aware that an SBOM exists. For example, this existence could be included in the product's customer security documentation (IMDRF/CYBER WG/N60FINAL:2020), the Manufacturer Disclosure Statement for Medical Device Security (MDS2, ANSI/NEMA HN 1-2019), a shared communication channel such as a publish/subscribe system, or a publishing interface on the medical device. As medical devices are updated frequently, a mechanism to easily identify a product and software version over the network in a standardized way should be encouraged to support automated updates.

354

Secondly, MDMs should allow the SBOM to be distributed to or accessed by the HCP. As stated previously, there is no one way to best distribute an SBOM at this time, but existing methods generally fall into one of three categories:

- The SBOM is provided directly from the MDM to the HCP; or
- The SBOM resides on the medical device; or
- The SBOM is available to HCPs via a repository, where a repository is a collection of SBOMs from different products which may be from the same or different manufacturer.

- 362 O A manufacturer-managed repository only contains SBOMs for devices from a
 363 single manufacturer while a centralized repository contains SBOMs for devices
 364 from multiple manufacturers.
- Centralized repositories may be managed by 3rd party services or be healthcare
 provider-managed (i.e., HCPs may aggregate the device SBOMs they received
 from manufacturers into a centralized location for ease of use). For more
 information on considerations for a healthcare provider-managed repository, see
 section 6.1.1.
- 370 While not an exhaustive list, the following table outlines some considerations for some of the 371 SBOM distribution categories descried above:
- 372

Method of Distribution	Advantages	Disadvantages
Included in the Customer Security Documentation from the manufacturer	 No specialized tools required 	 Not automated Documentation must be updated frequently and distributed to the user There needs to be a way to link the document back to the device itself (strong asset management) Less control over SBOM access
Provided by the manufacturer as a separate (electronic) document	 No specialized tools required More control over SBOM access Preferably machine readable 	 Not automated Documentation must be updated frequently and distributed to the user There needs to be a way to link the document back to the device itself (strong asset management)
Accessible from the medical device through a display, reference (indirectly) or download	 Always the correct version Under control of the user More control over SBOM access 	 Not automated Requires access to the device to be able to access the information The device might not have a means to extract the information (e.g. user interface, USB port, network connectivity) Requires sufficient space on the device
Accessible from an API on the medical device	More control over SBOM access	 API standards remain undefined Requires tooling

Method of Distribution	Advantages	Disadvantages
	 Can be used in an automated process 	Requires connectivity
Manufacturer-managed Repository	 More control over SBOM access Can be used in an automated process 	 Customers have to check multiple manufacturer sites/repositories for information
Centralized Repository	 More streamlined way for customers to access information (i.e., don't have to check as many individual manufacturer sites/repositories) Can be used in an automated process 	 Intellectual property, liability, and other considerations for the manufacturer when using a 3rd party service Challenges with versioning as some organizations may have multiple versions of the same device with different update status and so will need to have access to all applicable SBOMs, not just the newest version

373

374

Table 1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Certain Methods of SBOM Distribution

375

376 It is acknowledged that there are many challenges related to the distribution of SBOMs. These 377 challenges include but are not limited to: (a) the frequency of software updates (b) the 378 corresponding need to update the SBOM c) the need to keep the user's asset management system 379 current by requiring a trigger to update it with the most up-to-date SBOM. In particular, a new 380 SBOM shouldn't overwrite an old SBOM until all devices are updated, otherwise vulnerable 381 software can be masked.

382

Another consideration in the distribution of SBOMs is the need to protect the SBOM information. Medical Device SBOMs should be classified as sensitive/confidential information in alignment with industry best practice. Communication channels from the MDM to external recipients, regulators and HCPs need to support protection measures, to help reduce the chances of these documents being compromised and resulting in increased risk exposure. Furthermore, these external organizations need to maintain internal security policies and practices to protect SBOM integrity, authenticity, and confidentiality

390

391 5.4 Monitor for Vulnerabilities

392 An SBOM does not contain vulnerability information. However, the SBOM may be used in

393 conjunction with other resources (e.g., VEX) to monitor for medical device vulnerabilities.

394 During the life cycle of the medical device, both the author (MDM) and the recipient (HCP) of

the SBOM rely on accurate and up-to-date information about the third-party software

396 components to identify and mitigate potential patient safety risks associated with possible third-

397 party software vulnerabilities on the device or systems in which the devices operate.

- Having up to date information on third-party components implies that MDMs have the
- 400 capabilities to compose the third-party component list as part of pre-market activities and during
- 401 post-market changes to the device and /or its software. This can be a challenging task and
- 402 requires adequate internal processes and tools to be in place.
- 403

404 Leveraging existing change management controls (i.e., process used to identify, document, and 405 authorize changes to an IT environment), is the first step in ensuring that any changes to the 406 SBOM are captured and the appropriate follow-up actions are taken. Vulnerability monitoring 407 can trigger change control to relevant software components and if software component selection 408 is affected, then your medical device SBOM content can change. However, new vulnerability 409 information does not always result in a software change and thus vulnerability information 410 changes more frequently than the medical device software component information. Ultimately 411 changes in SBOM content, result in an updated SBOM document that is generated and 412 distributed when a medical device is updated.

413

414 **5.4.1 SBOM & Change Management**

415 While the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) has been well incorporated into the pre-

and post-market change management processes of medical device development, third-party

417 component change management is a new area for most manufacturers. Change control can be418 triggered through several events. Examples include but are not limited to:

- discovery of a vulnerability in a third-party component,
- changes during the medical device life cycle due to patching software bugs,
- addition of new functions to the medical device software,
 - changes to third-party components that reside on the device hardware or within its operating system due to end of life (EOL) decisions, (security) patches, or new versions coming to the market.
- 424 425

422

423

In all scenarios, the software composition will change. For example, components might be
exchanged for others, components may be added or removed, or new versions of components
will become part of the composition.

429

430 Change control should not only apply to the overall SBOM itself, but also to the proprietary

- 431 medical device software using third-party software libraries. For example, if a security fix has
- 432 been implemented in the proprietary code to mitigate a potential vulnerability in a third-party
- 433 software library, this should be tracked appropriately. This information is not only important for
- 434 internal use, but also for informing HCPs that a mitigation has been put in place.
- 435
- 436 Changes to the SBOM should be communicated to the HCPs on a regular basis and made
- 437 available in an actionable and machine-readable format on an appropriate distribution platform.438

439 **5.5 Challenges**

The SBOM has great promise for enhancing patient safety via software transparency. This
section highlights some of the challenges in implementing SBOM across the SDLC.

- 443 a. Legacy devices: SBOM is a relatively recent concept and in generating an SBOM for legacy 444 medical devices, an MDM may face difficulties obtaining granularity as even basic 445 information may not be available for some elements. In this instance it is still desirable to 446 build an SBOM which may be of reduced scope and depth, that captures major software 447 components such as the Operating System, COTS software, and OSS as possible. Doing so 448 allows this simple nucleus of the SBOM to be extended and improved for the next version of 449 the device.
- 450 b. Standards and tools: SBOM collection, generation, distribution, and use for vulnerability 451 monitoring can be supported by standards and tools. High-level considerations regarding 452 standards and tools are provided below and additional details regarding tooling used to 453 collect SBOM content is found in Appendix 9.1
- 454 i. Standards and tools continue to evolve and mature; MDMs should not wait for these to be 455 "finalized"; rather they should generate initial SBOM documents applying basic/foundational SBOM concepts. For example, while tools may exist to identify the 456 457 SBOM content, there may be challenges translating it to a machine-readable format and 458 identifying those components that are vulnerable with centralized databases (such as the
- 459 NIST National Vulnerability Database (NVD)).
- ii. As many organizations continue working toward defining standards and tools, in the 460 medium and long term, the MDM may be able to migrate the SBOM to newer platforms 461 462 that become available.
- c. SBOM depth: SBOMs can be dynamic and change over time since SBOM documents are 463 464 created for each product release or update. Defining the right depth of SBOM content to be included in the SBOM document will impact the quantity and type of resources needed to 465 keep an SBOM document up to date. 466
- 467

6.0 Overview of Healthcare Provider Considerations 468

469 Healthcare has evolved over the last decade into a digital environment that permeates every facet 470 of the industry. This digital transformation, which involves both business aspects and most 471 critically patient care, has produced a dependence on secure software. This has coincided with a 472 dramatic rise in cybersecurity breaches. Manufacturers should supply a software bill of materials 473 (SBOM) with their products. The SBOM content needs to address many of the varied needs, 474 resources, and capabilities of HCPs. The HCPs population is best described as a diverse - with 475 large health systems, small rural facilities, and an increasingly important ambulatory component, 476 including home care, that is now also digitally dependent and connected. SBOMs are applicable 477 in these different use environments and advancements in tooling, services, and cybersecurity 478 maturity will enable HCPs to leverage the SBOM to its fullest extent. Protection of the cyber 479 healthcare environment is a shared responsibility of HCPs and MDMs with the SBOM being a 480 common tool to support safety.

- 482 This section provides an overview of healthcare organization considerations for SBOM including
- 483 ingesting and intake of an SBOM and managing an SBOM. See Figure 1 for overall framework of
- SBOM. 484

485 6.1 SBOM Ingestion and Management

- 486 To be able to leverage an SBOM, organizations must first be able to ingest it. A complete and
- 487 accurate asset inventory is critical. Once ingested, an SBOM is managed to maximize
- 488 organizational benefit. This section provides an overview of healthcare organization
- 489 considerations for SBOM including ingesting and managing an SBOM and specific

490 considerations for healthcare provider-managed SBOM repositories.

491 **6.1.1** Considerations for Ingesting and Managing an SBOM

492 An HCP needs to understand the hardware assets and the associated software running on its 493 network. Establishing an inventory of off-the shelf or custom developed applications is typically 494 handled through standard information technology processes. Establishing an inventory of 495 software running on devices cannot be handled through these standard processes and requires input 496 from the MDM. An SBOM is a method to transparently share this information between MDM 497 and HCPs. Below are considerations related to an SBOM and a healthcare provider-managed 498 SBOM repository.

A. A key time to obtain SBOM information is during the *procurement* process, this aligns with the timing of device information being shared between an MDM and HCP.

- C. Device SBOMs are ideally mapped to a *unique identifier* to enable accurate correlation
 between an SBOM and each device due to the HCP likely having multiple models and
 versions. However, the lack of standardized unique identifier for software and hardware
 components may result in manual mapping.
- 508 D. The level of SBOM completeness affects the extent to which it can be leveraged. At a
 509 minimum, SBOM component information should include: author name, timestamp,
 510 software component vendor (supplier), software component name, software component
 511 version, component hash, unique identifier, and relationship.
- E. <u>Communication</u> between an MDM and HCP is highly recommended when an SBOM indicates a device has a known vulnerability, to ensure actions taken to address the vulnerability are validated by the MDM and if required, approved by the HCP's national/regional authority.
- F. HCPs need the ability to create an internal SBOM repository, linking each device in their
 environment to the specific SBOM for *enhanced enterprise device management*.
- The repository needs to have <u>search capabilities</u> to accurately identify and manage risk across the HCP's many devices, including known vulnerabilities.
 An HCP may even want to track the levels of nested software included in a purchased device, to learn that there are vulnerabilities

^{B. Delivery of the SBOM should be done through a} *standard, automated format* to enable
information to be efficiently ingested by an HCP. Three prominent formats to be
considered are Cyclone Dx, SPDX, and SWID.

522	2. The repository needs to support <i>updating and maintaining</i> SBOM content
523	throughout the device's life cycle to ensure accurate/current information.
524	a. As formats and software identifiers are likely to change over the lifetime
525	of devices and repositories, a generic capability to map between a device
526	identifier and some document of any format used to document information
527	on SBOM is the most important feature of such an SBOM repository (Per
528	ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 SWID is one means of tagging software)
529	3. The SBOM repository should be <i>secure</i> (e.g., role-based restricted access for
530	those in the healthcare organization that need it) to prevent the information from
531	being used as a roadmap to attack a device or an HCP's network.

Note: Items A-E above are general SBOM considerations, and were also discussed in Section 5as these considerations also apply to MDMs.

534 6.1.2 Methods for Ingesting and Managing an SBOM

535 With the scale and scope of devices in an HCP's environment, to be practically useful, an SBOM 536 needs to be ingested in an automated way. Automation also aids in the management of the 537 SBOM going forward as SBOMs may be updated over time. As a part of hospital operations, 538 organizations may leverage a security information and event management (SIEM) software 539 solution that can, among other things, collect, store, aggregate, and analyze data from networked 540 devices, servers, etc. These SIEMs may be used to ingest an SBOM if the SIEM can read the 541 SBOM format. To maintain use of the SBOM over time, some healthcare organizations are 542 exploring linking or integrating the SBOM within their Vendor Risk Management (VRM) 543 system via their Configuration Management Database (CMDB) or Computerized Maintenance 544 Management System (CMMS). In some cases, HCPs are exploring direct ingestion of the SBOM 545 to these technologies. Custom developed software tools or scripts may also be used to ingest an 546 SBOM. For direct ingestion and/or with the use of custom tools, HCPs will need to consider the 547 proprietary nature of the electronic format (e.g., whether they have the needed permissions to 548 integrate the SBOMs into their systems).

549

550 While not an exhaustive list, the following table outlines some of the methods an HCP may use 551 for ingesting and managing an SBOM and some corresponding considerations for each (i.e., 552 advantages and disadvantages).

- 553
- 554

555

- 556
- 557

558

559

560

561

Method for Ingesting or Managing an SBOM	Advantages	Disadvantages
SIEM	Capable of directly ingesting	 Compatibility with SBOM formats Ability to use with proprietary SBOMs Reduced access for searching
CMDB	Highly searchable Capable of directly ingesting (Some vendors are engaged in the NTIA pilot – Nuvolo and ServiceNow) Direct correlation to individual assets	 Compatibility with SBOM formats Ability to use with proprietary SBOMs
VRM	Searchable, capable of directly ingesting	 Compatibility with SBOM formats Ability to use with proprietary SBOMs Lacks link to individual assets
Custom Scripts	Can be tailored to your unique needs	 May be time consuming or resource intensive to generate Higher incidence of errors

564 565

Table 2: Advantages and Disadvantages of Certain Methods of SBOM Ingestion and Management

566 567

- Additional details regarding specific use cases related to the management of an SBOM can be found in Section 7.0 SBOM use cases.
- 570

7.0 SBOM Use Cases

572 SBOMs have a broad range of uses by stakeholders. For example, from an HCP's device life

573 cycle perspective, SBOMs help during deployment, integration, configuration, use, maintenance,

and device configuration management (e.g., because a HCP may have multiple versions of the

same device since the devices are not updated at the same time). Asset management and

- 576 procurement use cases are not included in this document. For additional information on these use
- 577 cases, please refer to the NTIA Software Component transparency Healthcare Proof of Concept578 Report.
- 578 Re 579

580 SBOMs may also be used by MDM throughout the TPLC of a medical device from the design

- 581 stage through end of support and decommissioning. Holistically. SBOMs can be used by
- 582 organisations to take a more proactive security stance across the entire life cycle of a device.

583

- 584 This section provides some example use cases for an SBOM as an adjunct tool for:
- Risk management
 - Vulnerability management

587 • Incident Management

588

586

589 While the sections that follow, primarily focus on perspectives from the MDM or the HCP, some 590 of these use cases may have applicability for other stakeholder groups. Moreover, the forthcoming 591 sections provide a high-level overview of these use cases.

592 **7.1 Risk Management**

593 7.1.1 Manufacturer's Perspective

- 594 Manufacturers need to consider the entire software supply chain when generating their SBOMs
- 595 for risk-management purposes; this includes software and software dependencies that are
- 596 developed internally or externally and included in the device.
- 597
- 598 Dependencies can include such things as libraries, operating systems, TCP/IP stacks, compilers, 599 among other things. While not exhaustive, below is a list of some risk management activities that
- 600 benefit from the use of an SBOM
- A. Hazard Analysis: SBOM used to identify potential cyber security vulnerabilities
 associated with known software components.
- B. Risk Evaluation: SBOM provides information about potential vulnerabilities that may
 exist, including their potential exploitability and impact. This can be used to estimate and
 evaluate the level of risk associated with a particular vulnerability
- 606 C. Risk Control: Monitoring and routinely updating an SBOM with known vulnerabilities
 607 helps to keep risks at an acceptable level (see also use case 7.2 vulnerability
 608 management).
- D. Assess and monitor: Updating the SBOM as needed with new software releases (for example after identifying ineffective risk controls or to further reduce residual risks)
- E. Lifecycle risk management: Provide an SBOM as part of product security
 documentation to HCPs at purchase and update throughout the device's life cycle (with
 an up-to-date SBOM being provided to facilitate healthcare provider management as the
 device approaches EOS). See IMDRF/CYBER WG/N70DRAFT:2022) for additional
 details.

616 **7.1.2 Healthcare Provider's Perspective**

617 SBOM's are used as a part of HCP's risk management starting at pre-procurement. Healthcare 618 providers should request an SBOM from manufacturers for any devices that are integrated into 619 their network infrastructure. SBOM provides greater transparency regarding what's included in 620 the device software and thus the risks that may be associated with it. This will enable the HCP to 621 better understand the benefits and risks of a device as it progresses through its TPLC, and how to 622 apply risk control measures and mitigation strategies more effectively across the device life cycle. 623

624 7.2 Vulnerability Management

This section of the document discusses use cases and considerations to make effective use of a

- 626 SBOM for medical device vulnerability management. Though a regulator may use an SBOM to 627 informs their initial post-market vulnerability impact assessment, this section focuses on the use
- 628 of SBOM for this purpose from an MDM and HCP perspective.

629 7.2.1 Manufacturer's Perspective

630 Vulnerability management is critical aspect of the MDM's post-market approach to ensure their

- medical devices maintain an acceptable risk profile. As a part of cybersecurity, manufacturers
 monitor threat and vulnerability information sources. The SBOM is an essential tool in
- supporting the timely identification of potential medical device vulnerabilities as they emerge
- and change over time. Using the SBOM, MDMs can more efficiently identify medical devices
- that may be impacted by a vulnerability based on the impacted software components from the
- associated vulnerability information. Automation of the comparison of medical device SBOM
- 637 information to impacted software component information from reported vulnerabilities can
- 638 further improve the timeliness and accuracy of vulnerability identification. This enables the
- 639 manufacturer to perform their risk assessment, communicate and remediate as needed.
- 640 Complementary to the SBOM, a VEX^{1,2} may be used to communicate to users additional
- 641 information about device impacts and what actions (if any) they should take. One possible
- 642 outcome of the risk assessment could be that a vulnerable component is exchanged, which
- 643 eventually leads to a revised SBOM

644 **7.2.2 Healthcare Provider's Perspective**

- 645 Vulnerability management is an important process to allow healthcare institutions to
- 646 continuously detect, evaluate and remediate the vulnerabilities in the IT environment. As new
- 647 vulnerabilities are being discovered daily, it is the only way to effectively detect and remediate
- 648 critical vulnerabilities in a timely manner. This section will explore the various SBOM use cases 649 to assist the HCP in their vulnerability management process.
- 650
- While not exhaustive, below is a list of some vulnerability management activities that benefitfrom the use of an SBOM
- A. Monitoring of healthcare organization's assets against new vulnerabilities as they
 emerge: SBOM used to understand if and how their medical devices are impacted by a
 new vulnerability
- B. Driving interim mitigations: SBOM information enables the HCP to carry out interim mitigations* as needed while the MDM/ supplier is still assessing the exact impact or developing updates to remediate the vulnerability
- *It is still recommended that the HCP engage with the MDM regarding the interim
 mitigation as they may have a better understanding of how the interim mitigation could
- 661 impact the intended use of the device
- 662 C. **Lifecycle management:** SBOM aids in the understanding of current supported and 663 unsupported software for new devices and those already in the field. It is helpful for

¹ <u>https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/vex_one-page_summary.pdf</u>

² <u>https://docs.oasis-open.org/csaf/csaf/v2.0/csd01/csaf-v2.0-csd01.html#45-profile-5-vex</u>

MDMs to include a timeline for support that gives HCP's enough time to assess risk
(both to their enterprise as well as to patients) if they are unable to replace a device.
Assisting healthcare provider with proactive security activities: SBOM supplements
vulnerability identification and security scanning activities when scanning is not feasible
or appropriate (e.g., for embedded devices, SaMDs)

670 7.3 Incident Management

671 There are numerous ways that an MDM or a HCP might become aware of security incident 672 which may impact medical devices. Irrespective of how they become aware, the SBOM is one of several resources that can help MDMs and HCP better manage cybersecurity incidents in the five 673 674 stages of incident management³ when used in conjunction with a robust incident response 675 process. For an MDM, an SBOM repository can reduce the time it takes to identify and evaluate 676 at-risk devices. For an HCP, an SBOM repository can help first-level-support teams and cybersecurity teams actions. Specifically, the repository improves the systematic collection, 677 678 correlation, and evaluation of information to detect cybersecurity-relevant events which 679 ultimately improves incident-handling. Collectively, this improved response can reduce risks 680 posed by incomplete risk evaluations and data loss that leads to destruction of evidence. 681 682

683 **8.0 References**

684 **8.1 IMDRF Documents**

- 685 1. Software as a Medical Device: Possible Framework for Risk Categorization and
 686 Corresponding Considerations IMDRF/SaMD WG/N12:2014 (September 2014)
- 687

669

- Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices and IVD Medical Devices
 IMDRF/GRRP WG/N47 FINAL:2018 (November 2018)
- 691 3. Principles and Practices for Medical Device Cybersecurity IMDRF/CYBER WG/N60:
 692 FINAL:2020 (April 2020)
- 693
- 694 4. Principles and Practices for the Cybersecurity of Legacy Medical Devices IMDRF/ CYBER
 695 WG/N70:DRAFT:2022 (May 2022)
- 696 **8.2 Standards**
- 697 5. AAMI TIR57:2016 Principles for medical device security—Risk management

• Detection and reporting

- Responses
- Lessons learnt

³ According to ISO/IEC 27035 five phases are:

[•] Plan and prepare

[•] Assessment and decision

698		
699	6.	AAMI TIR 97:2019, Principles for medical device security—Postmarket risk management for
700		device manufacturers
701		
702	7.	IEC 60601-1:2005+AMD1:2012, Medical electrical equipment - Part 1: General requirements
703	<i>.</i>	for basic safety and essential performance
704		for busic surery and essential performance
705	8	IEC 62304:2006/AMD 1:2015, Medical device software – Software life cycle processes
705	0.	The 02504.2000/AMD 1.2015, Medical device software – Software file cycle processes
	0	IEC 62266 1.2015 Medical devices Dout 1. Application of vachility engineering to medical
707	9.	IEC 62366-1:2015, Medical devices - Part 1: Application of usability engineering to medical devices
708		uevices
709	10	
710	10.	IEC 80001-1:2010, Application of risk management for IT-networks incorporating medical
711		devices - Part 1: Roles, responsibilities and activities
712		
713	11.	IEC TR 80001-2-2:2012, Application of risk management for IT-networks incorporating
714		medical devices - Part 2-2: Guidance for the disclosure and communication of medical device
715		security needs, risks and controls
716		
717	12.	IEC TR 80001-2-8:2016, Application of risk management for IT-networks incorporating
718		medical devices – Part 2-8: Application guidance – Guidance on standards for establishing the
719		security capabilities identified in IEC 80001-2-2
720		
721	13.	ISO 13485:2016, Medical devices - Quality management systems - Requirements for
722		regulatory purposes
723		
724	14.	ISO 14971:2019, Medical devices – Application of risk management to medical devices
725		
726	15.	ISO/TR 80001-2-7:2015, Application of risk management for IT-networks incorporating
727		medical devices – Application guidance – Part 2-7: Guidance for Healthcare Delivery
728		Organizations (HDOs) on how to self-assess their conformance with IEC 80001-1
729		organizations (The ob) on now to ben assess area contornance what the objoint f
730	16	ISO/IEC 27000 family - Information security management systems
731	10.	iso/ince 27000 fulling information security management systems
732	17	ISO/IEC 27035-1:2016, Information technology – Security techniques – Information security
733	17.	incident management – Part 1: Principles of incident management
734		medent management – 1 at 1. 1 metples of medent management
735	10	ISO/IEC 27035-2:2016, Information technology – Security techniques – Information security
736	10.	
730		incident management - Part 2: Guidelines to plan and prepare for incident response
	10	ISO/IEC 20147:2018 Information Technology Security Techniques Vulnershility
738	19.	ISO/IEC 29147:2018, Information Technology – Security Techniques – Vulnerability
739		Disclosure
740	20	
741	20.	ISO/IEC 30111:2013, Information Technology – Security Techniques – Vulnerability
742		Handling Processes
743	0.1	
744	21.	ISO/TR 24971:2020, Medical devices – Guidance on the application of ISO 14971

- 745
 746 22. UL 2900-1:2017, Standard for Software Cybersecurity for Network-Connectable Products,
 747 Part 1: General Requirements
- 748
 749 23. UL 2900-2-1:2017, Software Cybersecurity for Network-Connectable Products, Part 2-1:
 750 Particular Requirements for Network Connectable Components of Healthcare and Wellness
 751 Systems
- 752

759

764

769

772

775

777

779

782

784

753 8.3 Regulatory Guidance

- ANSM (Draft): Cybersecurity of medical devices integrating software during their life cycle
 (July 2019)
- 25. China: Medical Device Network Security Registration on Technical Review GuidancePrinciple (January 2017)
- 26. European Commission: REGULATION (EU) 2017/745 OF THE EUROPEAN
 PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 5 April 2017 on medical devices, amending
 Directive 2001/83/EC, Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 and
 repealing Council Directives 90/385/EEC and 93/42/EEC (May 2017)
- 765 27. European Commission: REGULATION (EU) 2017/746 OF THE EUROPEAN
 766 PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 5 April 2017 on in vitro diagnostic medical
 767 devices and repealing Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision 2010/227/EU (May
 768 2017)
- 770 28. FDA (Draft): Cybersecurity in Medical Devices: Quality System Considerations and
 771 Content of Premarket Submissions (April 2022)
- 773 29. FDA: Cybersecurity for Networked Medical Devices Containing Off-the-Shelf (OTS)
 774 Software (January 2005)
- 30. FDA: Design Considerations for Devices Intended for Home Use (November 2014)
- 31. FDA: Postmarket Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices (December 2016)
- 32. Germany: Cyber Security Requirements for Network-Connected Medical Devices (November 2018)
- 783 33. Health Canada: Pre-market Requirements for Medical Device Cybersecurity (June 2019)
- 785 34. Japan: Ensuring Cybersecurity of Medical Device: PFSB/ELD/OMDE Notification No. 0428 1 (April 2015)
- 787
 788 35. Japan: Guidance on Ensuring Cybersecurity of Medical Device: PSEHB/MDED-PSD
 789 Notification No. 0724-1 (July 2018)

791 792	36.	Singapore Standards Council Technical Reference 67: Medical device cybersecurity (2018)
793 794	37.	TGA: Medical device cybersecurity - Consumer information (July 2019)
795 796	38.	TGA: Medical device cybersecurity guidance for industry (July 2019)
797 798	39.	TGA: Medical device cybersecurity information for users (July 2019)
799	8.4	Other Resources and References
800 801 802	40.	NTIA FAQ (<u>https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/sbom_faq20201116.pdf</u>)
803 804 805 806	41.	NTIA "Roles and Benefits of SBOM Across the Supply Chain" (<u>https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/ntia_sbom_use_cases_roles_benefits-nov2019.pdf</u>)
807 808 809	42.	NTIA Healthcare POC "How to Guide for SBOM Generation" https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/howto_guide_for_sbom_generation_v1.pdf
810 811 812	43.	NTIA Vulnerability-Exploitability eXchange (VEX) Overview https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/vex_one-page_summary.pdf
813 814 815 816	44.	Dept of Commerce, Minimum Elements for a SBOM Pursuant to Executive Order 14028 on Improving the Nation's Cybersecurity <u>https://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/sbom_minimum_elements_report.pdf</u>
817 818 819	45.	OASIS Profile 5: VEX https://docs.oasis-open.org/csaf/csaf/v2.0/csd01/csaf-v2.0-csd01.html#45-profile-5-vex
820 821 822	46.	CERT [®] Guide to Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure https://resources.sei.cmu.edu/asset_files/SpecialReport/2017_003_001_503340.pdf
823 824 825	47.	The NIST Cybersecurity Framework https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework
826 827 828 829 830	48.	NIST's Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF) <u>https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/Publications/white-paper/2019/06/07/mitigating-risk-of-software-vulnerabilities-with-ssdf/draft/documents/ssdf-for-mitigating-risk-of-software-vulns-draft.pdf</u>
831 832 833	49.	NIST SP 800-115:2008, Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-115</u>
834 835	50.	Medical Device and Health IT Joint Security Plan (January 2019) https://healthsectorcouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/HSCC-MEDTECH-JSP-v1.pdf

836	
51. MITRE medical device cybersecurity playbook (October 2018)	
838 https://www.mitre.org/publications/technical-papers/medical-device-cybersecurity-region	al-
839 incident-preparedness-and	
840	
841 52. MITRE CVSS Healthcare Rubric	
842 https://www.mitre.org/publications/technical-papers/rubric-for-applying-cvss-to-medical-	
843 devices	
844	
845 53. Health Industry Cybersecurity Practices: Managing Threats and Protecting Patients (HICI	2)
846 https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/405d/Pages/hic-practices.aspx	,
847	
848 54. Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP)	
849 https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Main_Page	
850	
851 55. Manufacturer Disclosure Statement for Medical Device Security (MI	(\mathbf{S}^2)
852 https://www.nema.org/Standards/Pages/Manufacturer-Disclosure-Statement-for-Medical-	_
853 Device-Security.aspx	
854	
855	
856 56. National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) / US Department	it of
857 Commerce, Vulnerability Disclosure Attitudes and Actions: A Research Report from the N	TIA
858 Awareness and Adoption Group	
859 <u>https://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/2016_ntia_a_a_vulnerability_disclosure_</u>	<u>insi</u>
860 <u>ghts_report.pdf</u>	
861	
862 57. <u>https://republicans-energycommerce.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/10-23-18-</u>	
863 <u>CoDis-White-Paper.pdf</u>	
864	
865 58. <u>https://resources.sei.cmu.edu/asset_files/SpecialReport/2017_003_001_503340.pdf</u>	
86558. https://resources.sei.cmu.edu/asset_files/SpecialReport/2017_003_001_503340.pdf	

869 9.0 Appendices

880

881

888

870 9.1 SBOM Component Types & Tools

871 SBOM content can come from a variety of sources. Examples of component types that may be872 included and tooling that may be used to generate the SBOM content are provided below.

- a. Third-Party Software Component Types: The scope of component types incorporated in the SBOM might depend on several factors including but not limited to: capabilities of the MDM, expectations of the HCPs, maturity of SBOM software available, and potential or expected regulatory SBOM requirements.
- However, when managing the SBOM, awareness of the different types of components is
 important as components might need different methods and tools for inventory and
 operational management. The following types can be distinguished:
 - i. Third-party software libraries that are linked to or embedded in the proprietary (medical device) software.
- 882 ii. Virtual machine, operating system, and third-party software components that
 883 reside on the operating system such as drivers, database software, management
 884 tools, and application frameworks.
- 885 iii. Third-party software components that come with vendor supplied hardware in use
 886 on the medical device: firmware, embedded software and programmable logic
 887 controller (PLC).
- The next sections will elaborate on the SBOM inventory, operational management, andavailable tools for these different types of components.
- 891 **b.** Third-Party Software Libraries: In modern software development, it is not unusual to 892 use significantly more code from third-party libraries compared to proprietary written 893 lines of code written by the manufacturer itself in a single piece of software. Composing 894 and managing the SBOM containing these libraries can be done by ensuring the MDMs 895 track and compose a list of all the libraries and update such lists for every software 896 change that impacts the libraries used. Such manual tracking and updating of SBOMs can 897 be considered a first, "basic" procedure for incorporating SBOM usage into their 898 development processes. As organizations mature, they may begin adapting more 899 advanced procedures like automation to make the process more efficient and accurate. An 900 example of a more advanced procedure would be the leveraging of existing development 901 platforms and the development and operations (DevOps) environments. Specifically, 902 automated tools/plugins could be incorporated in one or more phases of the development 903 pipeline (SecDevOps).
- 904The advantage of SBOM is that it enables the identification of third-party libraries and905known vulnerabilities in those libraries as early as possible. Early detection of any known906vulnerabilities facilitates early remediation and will be more cost effective compared to907late detection. Early replacement in the development process of a vulnerable component908for a non-vulnerable component decreases costs because the procedural workload in early909stages of a software development is far less than for example after the verification and910validation phase. Coding rework will also be less extensive as code complexity and

911	dependencies will increase as the code reaches final stages of the SDLC. In addition,
912	early detection enables SBOM management throughout the SDLC, in general whenever
913	changes to the software will alter the software composition of the SBOM.
914	
915	Such tools or plugins analyze the software to detect embedded or linked open-source
916	software, and some can detect commercial third-party software as well. They typically
917	identify known vulnerabilities, such as out-of-date libraries that have available security
918	patches. Monitoring for vulnerabilities feeds into SBOM content collection during:
919	i. coding : for example, when executing Static Code Analyses (i.e., leveraging tools
920	that attempt to highlight vulnerabilities in non-running source code).
921	ii. the software build : for example, when the software is built for each end of sprint,
922	where a sprint is a set time period by which specific work has to be completed and
923	made ready for review.
924	iii. testing : for example, when executing Static Application Security Testing (SAST).
925	in testing. for example, when executing static reprication security resting (srist).
926	These tools or plugins – usually referred to as Software Composition Analyses (SCA)
927	software – do not need any manual input to generate the SBOM but will use available
928	repositories to in general identify:
929	i. Software component name
930	ii. Software component vendor (supplier)
931	iii. Software component version
932	iv. Component hash
933	v. Relationship (One or more layers of dependencies)
934	vi. Component vulnerabilities
935	vii. Licensing model and compliance information
936	vii. Electising model and compliance information
937	Note that apart from the larger SCA vendors, there are other tools and plugins available which
938	can be used during code-build-test and produce similar outcomes. Some are free to use, making
939	automation available to medical device manufacturers of every size.
///	automation available to medical device manufacturers of every size.
940	c. Operating System Components
941	Virtual machine(s) and the operating system in use by the medical device are essential
942	components of the SBOM. There are existing third-party software components that rely upon the
943	operating system on top of which the device software is built, including database software and
944	application frameworks, as well as software components for other essential functions of the
945	device such as security software, system management tools, remote support software, and
946	networking components.
947	networking components.
948	The number of components for virtual machines and the operating system will probably be less
949 949	than the third-party software libraries discussed in the previous section, nonetheless automated
949 950	discovery and management will be a prerequisite for efficient and cost-effective inventory.
950 951	ansesvery and management will be a prerequisite for efficient and cost-effective inventory.
951 952	Several options exist to automate the discovery and management of third-party software
952 953	components on the operating system. Some SCA vendors focus on both the components
<i>733</i>	components on the operating system. Some SCA vendors focus on both the components

- discussed in the previous section, as well as the other software components on the operating system that are not directly linked to or embedded in the proprietary software. But there are also 954
- 955

- vendors with a dedicated focus on Software Asset Management (SAM), a governance practice
- that manages the risks and value inherent in software.
- 958
- 959 If such tools are not an option for the medical device manufacturer, the software inventory on the
- 960 operating system can be generated by executing purpose-built scripts (for example a PowerShell
- 961 Script on Windows or BASH Script on Linux). Another option is to use a vulnerability
- management scanning tool. The advantage of the latter that it will also provide vulnerability
- 963 information of the components discovered.

964 **d. Firmware, Embedded Software and PLC**

- 965 Third-party firmware, embedded software, and PLC are components least prone to change on a 966 medical device during its life cycle, unless known vulnerabilities are discovered. As these types 967 of software components are tied to the hardware of the device, they are part of the regular BOM 968 for a medical device. A BOM is a comprehensive list of the materials and components needed to 969 manufacture a device and thus includes much more than just software components. Hence, the
- 969 manufacture a device and thus includes much more than just software components. Hence, the
- BOM provides a good starting point for the inventory and management of these third-partsoftware components. Like the SBOM, a regular BOM may be obtained from various sources
- including an MDM's development activity or via third-party provided BOMs.
- 973
- 974 If the BOM is managed through Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) or Enterprise Resource
- 975 Planning (ERP) software, export functions can be used to extract the software components. If
- available, the upstream SBOM of the firmware, software, or PLC vendor can be leveraged to add
- additional layers of depth for third-party components if that is required.
- 978
- 979 If these software components are proprietary, e.g., developed by the medical device
- 980 manufacturer, the same approach applies as described in the section on 'Third-Party Software
- 981 Libraries'.
- 982
- 983